Space Time Explorer & Quantum Test of the Equivalence principle



A class M mission for cosmic vision 2020-2025



The Einstein equivalence principle (EEP) is the heart of gravitation theory. It states that :

- Test bodies fall with the same acceleration independently of their internal structure or composition
 - Weak Equivalence Principle, or WEP
- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of where and when in the universe it is performed
 - Local Position Invariance, or LPI

- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of the velocity of the freely falling reference frame in which it is performed
 - Local Lorentz Invariance, or LLI



The Einstein Equivalence Principle (EEP) is the heart of gravitation theory. It states that :

- Test bodies fall with the same acceleration independently of their internal structure or composition
 - Weak Equivalence Principle, or WEP

Do 2 different particles fall at the same rate ?

- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of where and when in the universe it is performed
 - Local Position Invariance, or LPI

• Do 2 different clocks indicate the same time ?

- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of the velocity of the freely falling reference frame in which it is performed
 - Local Lorentz Invariance, or LLI



The Einstein equivalence principle (EEP) is the heart of gravitation theory. It states that :

- Test bodies fall with the same acceleration independently of their internal structure or composition
 - Weak Equivalence Principle, or WEP

On a different particles fall at the same rate ?

- outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of where and when in the universe it is performed
 - Local Position Invariance, or LPI

• Do 2 different clocks indicate the same time ?

The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of the velocity of the freely falling reference frame in which it is performed

O Lo



Weak Equivalence Principle







S

ດ

0

6

Compare the free fall of 2 different atomic species

 Atom interferometry : use a precise ruler to get the position in time



2 .3

S

ດ

0

6

Compare the free fall of 2 different atomic species

 Atom interferometry : use a precise ruler to get the position in time

STE - QUEST

4

S

ດ

0

6

10

Compare the free fall of 2 different atomic species

 Atom interferometry : use a precise ruler to get the position in time

Atom Interferometer

- Based on Raman pulses atom optics : coherent manipulation of atomic wave packets with light
 - $\approx \pi/2 \pi \pi/2$ (Kasevich & Chu 1991) : interferometer
 - $\approx \pi/2$: creates a superposition of 2 different velocities : beam splitter
 - $\approx \pi$: exchanges velocities : mirror





Metrologia 46 (2009), 87–94

STE - QUEST Atom Interferometer high accuracy and stability





- We use an (optical) ruler to precisely measure the modification of the (atomic) test mass position
- Atom sensor : Laser phase is read by atom interferometry.
- Relative displacement of the atomic inertial referential frame (at rest) compared to the referential frame of the payload (lasers)
- Sensitivity increasing as T²: gain in micro-gravity (3 to 4 order of magnitude)

 $\frac{\Delta \phi_{acc}}{\sqrt{\Delta I}} = \frac{1}{RT^2 \sqrt{N}}$



Test of the UFF

- Oouble species interferometer :
- Measure of the displacements of the compared to the same referential frame (payload)
- Oifferential atomic acceleration: independent of the carrier

$$\Delta a_{min} = \frac{a_{\Delta p_{acc}} = 1}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{1}{RT^2 \sqrt{N}}$$

Differential sensitivity: 5.4 10⁻¹² m.s⁻²/√τ



Platforms for experiments in extended free fall

platform	µg-quality [g]	µg-duration
ground	10-6	1 seconds
droptower	10-6	4.8 s, 9s with catapult
airplanes	10-2	20 seconds
ballistic rockets	10-5	up to 6 minutes
space carrier	10-6	3 days
ISS	10-4	days to years
satellite	10-7	2-5 years



Atomic Interferometer basics



Ice experiment in 0-g plane



Ground 10 m experiment Quantus experiment in Bremen tower



Test of the UFF: orbit

- Choice of the orbit:
 - ☆ Inertial orbit (no spinning)
 - ☆ Low orbit to increase the signal: acceleration signal decrease as 1/r²
 - ☆ Reduction of the drag (acceleration noise): high enough orbit
 - Optimum orbit between 600 km and 3000 km
 - No drag-free system needed



The Einstein equivalence principle (EEP) is the heart of gravitation theory. It states that :

- Test bodies fall with the same acceleration independently of their internal structure or composition
 - Weak Equivalence Principle, or WEP

Do 2 different particles fall at the same rate ?

- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of where and when in the universe it is performed
 - Local Position Invariance, or LPI

• Do 2 different clocks indicate the same time ?

- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of the velocity of the freely falling reference frame in which it is performed
 - Local Lorentz Invariance, or LLI



he Einstein equivalence principle (EEP) is the heart of gravitation theory. It states that :

- Test bodies fall with the same acceleration independently of their internal structure or composition
 - Weak Equivalence Principle, or WEP

• Do

- The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of where and when in the universe it is performed
 - Local Position Invariance, or LPI

Test of the red shift

- e outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of the velocity of the freely falling reference frame in which it is performed
 - Local Lorentz Invariance, or LLI

STE - QUEST

Local Position Invariance



A class M mission to test gravitation with light and quantum particles

Atomic clocks basics with Rb atoms (gain of 3 to Cs)

Pharao Cs clock (ACES mission)



Atomic clocks basics

STE - QUEST



STE - QUEST MOLO : local optic Oscillator/ micro-wave

Composition :

- ☆ Laser Nd:Yag with ultra-stable optical cavity
- Frequency comb (OFC) : transfer the frequency stability from optical frequency domain to micro-wave domaine
- \Rightarrow Performances : 1x10⁻¹⁵ for 1 to 20 s

○ Use

- ☆ µwave : clock and interferometer
- ☆ µwave : referencial frame for comparisons



 $\stackrel{\wedge}{\simeq}$



- Highly elliptic orbit: increase the red shift difference (1/r) and it's modulation
- microwave frequency transfer to ground
- Test of red shift by comparison between ground and space clock (test based on the accuracy 1x10⁻¹⁶): 1.6 x10⁻⁷ (15 time better than ACES)
- Test based on the modulation during the orbit (test based on the stability 3 x10⁻¹⁷) and averages over 1000 orbits: 2.7 x10⁻⁷





Fundamental Physics Science Objectives

- Universality of free fall (UFF, WEP) test using atom interferometry at 1x10⁻¹⁵
- Test of Earth's gravitational redshift (ground to space clock comparison) at 1.6x10⁻⁷
- Test of Sun's gravitational redshift at 6x10⁻⁷ (intercontinental ground-ground clock comparison at 10⁻¹⁸).

Spin-off to other fields (outside fundamental physics)

- Comparison of distant terrestrial clocks at the level attained by the time of the mission (10⁻¹⁸ or better).
- Establishment of a new approach to the determination of the geopotential, with 1 cm equivalent height resolution.
- Demonstration of clock and link technology as well as high precision inertial sensors based on atom interferometers for future applications, e.g. in precision spacecraft navigation.
- Demonstration on high performance real-time range determination.
- Comparison of 3 different orbit determination systems: Laser-Ranging, μm-precision Microwave, Ranging, GPS-based orbit determination.



STE-Quest Science team ESA

E. Rasel, S. Shiller, U. Sterr (Germany), P. Bouyer, A. Landragin, P. Wolf (France), K. Bongs (UK), L. Iess, G. Tino (Italy)

STE-Quest Working Group France (TBC)

L. Blanchet (IAP), P. Bouyer (IOGS), A. Bresson (ONERA), A. Landragin (SYRTE), P. Laurent (SYRTE), S. Reynaud (LKB), C. Salomon (LKB), P. Touboul (ONERA), P. Wolf (SYRTE)

STE-Quest community - France

A. Clairon, P. Lemonde, J-M. Courty, B. Christophe, B. Foulon, P. Berio, F. Bondu, A. Brillet, G. Metris, E. Samain, F. Vedel, M-C. Angonin, J. Vigué, T. Damour, Ch. Bordé, J. Reichel, B. Lamine ...

A. Clairon, Observatoire de Paris, France P. Lemonde, Observatoire de Paris, France S. Reynaud, LKB, France J-M. Courty LKB. France H. Dittus, DLR, Germany C. Lämmerzahl, ZARM, University of Bremen, Germany S. Theil, ZARM, University of Bremen, Germany F. Riehle, PTB, Germany E. Peik, PTB, Germany P. Gill,NPL, Great Britain T.W. Hänsch, MPQ, Germany T. Udem, MPQ, Germany B. Christophe, ONERA, France B. Foulon, ONERA, France M. Inguscio, LENS, University of Firenze, Italy G. Modugno, LENS, University of Firenze, Italy N. Poli, LENS, University of Firenze, Italy F. Levi, INRIM, Italy D. Calonico, INRIM, Italy P. Berio, OCA, France F. Bondu, OCA, France A. Brillet, OCA, France G. Metris, OCA, France E. Samain, OCA, France P. De Natale, INOA, Italy O. Bertolami, IST, Portugal R. Bingham, RAL, Great Britain W. Seboldt, DLR, Germany L. Maleki, OEwaves Inc., USA N. Yu, JPL, USA J.D. Anderson, Global Aerospace Corporation, USA K.T. Nock, Global Aerospace Corporation, USA J. Bergquist, NIST, USA A. Newsky (Düsseldorf) C. Oates.NIST. USA M. Kasevich, Stanford, USA J. Ye, JILA, NIST, University of Colorado, USA A. Madej,NRC, Canada L. Marmet, NRC, Canada J. Thomsen, Niels Bohr Institute, Denmark U. Johann.Astrium. Germany A. Rathke, Astrium, Germany A. Görlitz, University of Düsseldorf, Germany A. Peters, Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany L. less, Università LaSapienza, Italy R. Blatt, University of Innsbruck, Austria F. Vedel, Université de Provence, France M-C. Angonin, Observatoire de Paris, France P. Delva, ESA, Netherlands K. Sengstock, University of Hamburg W. Schleich, University of Ulm, Germany R. Walser, TU Darmstadt, Germany J. Vigué, University Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France M. Arndt, Vienna, Austria T. Damour, IHES, France Ch. Bordé, LPL, CNRS, Universitè Paris Nord, France L. Lusanna, INFN, Firenze, Italy C. Braxmeier, Astrium, Germany T. van Zoest, DLR, Germany S. Herrmann, ZARM, University of Bremen, Germany D. Giulini, ITP, University of Hannover, Germany J. Reichel.ENS. Paris P. Thomann, University de Neuchatel, Swiss H. Müller, Berkeley, USA T. Pfau, University of Stuttgart J. Müller, IFE, University of Hannover C. Jentsch, Astrium, Germany U. Schreiber, Wettzell, Germany